



STATE OF WISCONSIN  
Division of Hearings and Appeals

---

In the Matter of

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

DECISION

MDD/150697

---

**PRELIMINARY RECITALS**

Pursuant to a petition filed June 07, 2013, under Wis. Stat. § 49.45(5), and Wis. Admin. Code § HA 3.03(1), to review a decision by the Milwaukee County Department of Human Services in regard to Medical Assistance, a hearing was held on August 20, 2013, at Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

The issue for determination is whether the Disability Determination Bureau (DDB) correctly denied the Petitioner's application for Medical Assistance (MA).

There appeared at that time and place the following persons:

**PARTIES IN INTEREST:**

Petitioner:

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Respondent:

Department of Health Services  
1 West Wilson Street  
Madison, Wisconsin 53703  
By: No Appearance

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:**

David D. Fleming  
Division of Hearings and Appeals

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. Petitioner is a resident of Milwaukee County.
2. Petitioner filed an application for elderly, blind or disabled Medicaid (MA) with the local agency in October 2012 alleging disability. The application was forwarded to the Disability Determination Bureau (DDB).
3. The DDB found that Petitioner was not disabled as the Medicaid program uses that term. A denial letter was sent to Petitioner. She sought reconsideration in January 2013, but the DDB affirmed its

original determination and forwarded the matter to the Division of Hearings and Appeals for a hearing. The DDB concluded that Petitioner is capable of light unskilled work. It questioned the degree of severity in Petitioner's reporting of medical and psychiatric problems.

4. Petitioner's Medicaid application is based on diagnoses which include left eye blindness, poor eyesight in right eye, arthritis and mental health issues – specifically, reported auditory and visual hallucinations, psychosis, anxiety and depression. She walks with a cane. She has been a patient at the Milwaukee County Behavioral Health facility.
5. Petitioner is 54 years of age (DOB [REDACTED]). She has completed the 7<sup>th</sup> grade.
6. Petitioner was not working at the time of the hearing. Her last employment was in 1999 in housekeeping by [REDACTED].
7. Petitioner has not applied for Social Security benefits.

### DISCUSSION

A person between ages 18 and 65, with no minor children, must be blind or disabled to be eligible for MA. A finding of disability must be in accordance with Federal Social Security/SSI standards. *See Wis. Stats. §49.47(4)(a)4.* Because the standards are the same, a finding of no disability for Social Security/SSI purposes made within 12 months of the MA application is binding on a State Medicaid (MA) agency. Per the DDB there is no binding Social Security finding here so the Division of Hearings and Appeals must decide whether Petitioner is disabled as that term is used by in the Federal Social Security/SSI standards, i.e., must proceed to make a determination as to whether the Petitioner is disabled under the regulations governing the SSA and MA programs as to disability.

As noted above, a person between ages 18 and 65, with no minor children, must be blind or disabled to be eligible for MA. In order to be eligible for Medicaid as a disabled person, an applicant must meet the same tests for disability as those used by the Social Security Administration to determine disability for Supplemental Security Income (Title XVI benefits). *§ 49.47(4)(a)4., Wis. Stats.* Title XVI of the Social Security Act defines "disability" as the inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity due to physical or mental impairments which can be expected to either result in death or last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.

Under the regulations established to interpret Title XVI, a claimant's disability must meet the 12-month durational requirement before being found disabling. In addition, the disability must pass five sequential tests established in the Social Security Administration regulations. Those tests are as follows:

1. An individual who is working and engaging in substantial gainful activity will not be found to be disabled regardless of medical findings. *20 CFR 416.920.*
2. An individual who does not have a "severe impairment" will not be found to be disabled. *20 CFR 416.920(c).* A condition is not severe if it does not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work. *20 CFR 416.921(a).*
3. If an individual is not working and is suffering from a severe impairment which meets the duration requirement and meets or equals a listed impairment in Appendix I of the federal regulations, a finding of disabled will be made without consideration of vocational factors (age, education, and work experience.) *20 CFR 416.920(d).*
4. If an individual is capable of performing work he or she has done in the past, a finding of not disabled must be made. *20 CFR 416.920(f).*

5. If an individual's impairment is so severe as to preclude the performance of past work, other factors, including age, education, past work experience and residual function capacity must be considered to determine if other types of work the individual has not performed in the past can be performed. *20 CFR 416.920(f)*.

These tests are sequential. If it is determined that an applicant for MA is employed or does not suffer from a severe impairment it is not necessary to proceed to analyze the next test in the above sequence. If a person's condition does not meet the SSA listings an analysis of capability to perform past work must be made. If the individual cannot perform past work a determination of the residual functioning capacity to perform other work must be made. *20 CFR 416.920(a)*.

Although the determination of disability depends upon medical evidence, it is not a medical conclusion; it is a legal conclusion. Thus, the observations, diagnoses, and test results reported by the Petitioner's physicians are relevant evidence; however the opinions of the doctors as to whether the Petitioner is disabled are not relevant. The definitions of disability in the regulations governing MA, Supplemental Security Income (SSI), and Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) programs require more than mere medical opinions that a person is disabled in order to be eligible. There must be medical evidence that impairment exists, that it affects basic work activities, that it is severe, and that it will last 12 months or longer as a severe impairment.

Here the Petitioner is not currently working. The DDB file is silent as to whether Petitioner has a severe impairment. I conclude that it is, however, severe as her conditions do significantly limit her ability to do basic work. See *20 CFR §404.1521*.

The next question is whether any of the Petitioner's conditions meet the Listings. The listings for are found at *20 CFR Pt. 404, Subpt. P, Appendix 1, Part A, §§ 1.00 to 14.00*. The Petitioner reports the medical diagnoses as noted at Finding # 4. I have reviewed the listings and the evidence is not sufficient to demonstrate that Petitioner meets those requirements.

The next question would then become whether the Petitioner has the residual functional capacity to perform past work. Past work includes any work done in the 15 years prior to application. *20 CFR 416.960(b)(1)*. Petitioner's past work was in housekeeping in 1999 through [REDACTED]. I cannot find that she has the ability to do that type of work.

This would then bring the analysis to the final step, a determination as to whether her impairments coupled with her age, education, past work experience and residual function capacity preclude her from doing other work; here light work. The DDB concluded that Petitioner is capable of light work under *20 CFR Pt. 404, Subpt. P, App 2, §202.10*. I include the Federal definitions of both sedentary and light work:

***§ 404.1567 Physical exertion requirements.***

To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as *sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy*. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor. In making disability determinations under this subpart, we use the following definitions:

- (a) *Sedentary work*. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met.

(b) *Light work*. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, you must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. If someone can do light work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary work, unless there are additional limiting factors such as loss of fine dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time.

...

20 CFR §404.1567.

Here I would conclude that the evidence demonstrates that Petitioner is incapable of light work. Again, a person must be capable of doing “...a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, you must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities. ...”.

The issue of sedentary work is a closer call. I understand the DBB concern as to Petitioner’s possible hyperbole in reporting but given Petitioner’s age<sup>1</sup> (54), education (7<sup>th</sup> grade – the DDB, apparently in error, notes it to be 11<sup>th</sup>) and work experience (none in approx. 15 years) I conclude that she is disabled under 20 CFR Pt. 404, Subpt. P, App 2, Rule 201.09 as a person closely approaching advance age with limited education and no transferrable skills.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

That the available evidence does demonstrate that Petitioner meets the criteria necessary for a finding that she is disabled as that term is defined by Social Security regulation.

**THEREFORE, it is**

**ORDERED**

That this matter is dismissed.

### **REQUEST FOR A REHEARING**

This is a final administrative decision. If you think this decision is based on a serious mistake in the facts or the law, you may request a rehearing. You may also ask for a rehearing if you have found new evidence which would change the decision. Your request must explain what mistake the Administrative Law Judge made and why it is important or you must describe your new evidence and tell why you did not have it at your first hearing. If you do not explain these things, your request will have to be denied.

To ask for a rehearing, send a written request to the Division of Hearings and Appeals, P.O. Box 7875, Madison, WI 53707-7875. Send a copy of your request to the other people named in this decision as "PARTIES IN INTEREST." Your request for a rehearing must be received no later than 20 days after the date of the decision. Late requests cannot be granted.

The process for asking for a rehearing is in Wis. Stat. § 227.49. A copy of the statutes can be found at your local library or courthouse.

---

<sup>1</sup> Per 20 CFR Pt. 404, Subpt. P, App 2, §200.00(g) a person age 50-54 is approaching advanced age.

**APPEAL TO COURT**

You may also appeal this decision to Circuit Court in the county where you live. Appeals must be served and filed with the appropriate court no more than 30 days after the date of this hearing decision (or 30 days after a denial of rehearing, if you ask for one).

For purposes of appeal to circuit court, the Respondent in this matter is the Department of Health Services. After filing the appeal with the appropriate court, it must be served on the Secretary of that Department, either personally or by certified mail. The address of the Department is: 1 West Wilson Street, Madison, Wisconsin 53703. A copy should also be sent to the Division of Hearings and Appeals, 5005 University Avenue, Suite 201, Madison, WI 53705-5400.

The appeal must also be served on the other "PARTIES IN INTEREST" named in this decision. The process for appeals to the Circuit Court is in Wis. Stat. §§ 227.52 and 227.53.

Given under my hand at the City of Milwaukee,  
Wisconsin, this 19th day of September, 2013

---

\sDavid D. Fleming  
Administrative Law Judge  
Division of Hearings and Appeals



**State of Wisconsin\DIVISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS**

Wayne J. Wiedenhoef, Acting Administrator  
Suite 201  
5005 University Avenue  
Madison, WI 53705-5400

Telephone: (608) 266-3096  
FAX: (608) 264-9885  
email: [DHAmail@wisconsin.gov](mailto:DHAmail@wisconsin.gov)  
Internet: <http://dha.state.wi.us>

The preceding decision was sent to the following parties on September 19, 2013.

Milwaukee County Department of Human Services  
Disability Determination Bureau