



STATE OF WISCONSIN
Division of Hearings and Appeals

In the Matter of

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

DECISION

FOO/151818

PRELIMINARY RECITALS

Pursuant to a petition filed August 29, 2013, under Wis. Admin. Code § HA 3.03(1), to review a decision by the Outagamie County Department of Human Services in regard to FoodShare benefits (FS), a hearing was held on September 26, 2013, at Appleton, Wisconsin.

The issue for determination is whether the agency correctly determined the amount of the petitioner's FS benefit for September 2013.

There appeared at that time and place the following persons:

PARTIES IN INTEREST:

Petitioner:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Respondent:

Department of Health Services
1 West Wilson Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53703

By: Ms. Stacy Cheyne, ES Spec.

Outagamie County Department of Human Services
401 S. Elm Street
Appleton, WI 54911-5985

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

Nancy J. Gagnon (telephonically)
Division of Hearings and Appeals

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner (CARES # [REDACTED]) is a resident of Outagamie County.
2. The petitioner had an ongoing FS case prior to September 2013, as a household of one person. She began employment in May 2013 at [REDACTED] Group. This employment and income did not come to the agency's attention until August, and the petitioner performed her August periodic

review on August 23, 2013. The agency received wage verification from [REDACTED]. The wages shown are monthly gross income of \$1,376.

3. On August 19, 2013, the Department issued written notice to the petitioner advising that her FS would be discontinued effective September 1, 2013, for failure to complete a review. On August 26, 2013, the Department issued written notice to the petitioner advising that her FS would be reduced to \$55 effective September 1, 2013. The basis for reduction was increased earned income (from zero). *See*, Exhibit 6. The petitioner timely appealed.
4. The petitioner does not contest that her gross monthly earnings are \$1,376. She has a rent/shelter expense of \$540. She does not pay out child support. There is no indication in this hearing record that she is an elderly or disabled person who incurs medical expenses exceeding \$35 monthly.

DISCUSSION

The petitioner questions the correctness of the calculation of the FS allotment amount for September, 2013, onward. The gross income was based on a statement submitted by the petitioner’s employer. There is no dispute as to the amounts that were shown on the employer statement, or the agency’s arithmetic in averaging the information. The budgeting calculations here were performed prospectively. Prospective budgeting should reflect what the petitioner is likely to receive, on average, each month. *FS Wisconsin Handbook (FSWH)*, 4.1.1, viewable online at www.emhandbooks.wisconsin.gov/fsh/. For earned income that is received biweekly, the agency is directed to develop a biweekly average, and then multiply that figure by 2.15 to account for three-paycheck months. For weekly income, the multiplier is 4.3. 7 C.F.R. §273.10(c)(2).

In calculating the petitioner’s September allotment, the agency must follow a procedure prescribed by the federal FS regulations, and echoed in the Department’s *FS Wisconsin Handbook*. The federal rule requires that the county start with gross, rather than net, income, and allow only a limited number of identified deductions from that income. *FSWH*, 1.1.4. The regulations direct that a Standard Deduction be subtracted from income in all FS cases. 7 C.F.R. §273.9(d)(1). The Standard Deduction for a case with one to three persons is currently set at \$149, per *FS Wisconsin Handbook*, 8.1.3. Twenty percent of any earned income is then subtracted as the Earned Income Deduction; that deduction was correctly given here. A Dependent Care Deduction is also taken if the petitioner incurred day care expenses in order to go to work, an Excess Medical Expense Deduction is subtracted for an elderly or disabled person’s allowable medical expenses that exceed \$35 per month, and child support paid out garners a deduction. There is no record of the latter three expenses here. 7 C.F.R. §273.9(d)(3). An Excess Shelter Deduction can be subtracted from the income after deductions if allowable shelter expenses exceed half of that income. 7 C.F.R. §273.9(d)(6)(ii). Based on a \$540 shelter cost plus the \$442 heating utility standard, the petitioner’s shelter costs totaled \$982. This did exceed half of the adjusted income (\$475.90), so a \$469 excess shelter cost (maximum) was deducted in the allotment calculation.

Thus, the September 2013 allotment calculation correctly looked like this:

Gross income	1376.00
Minus Earned Inc. Deduction	- 275.20
Minus Excess Medical	-000.00
Minus Dependent Care	-000.00
Minus Standard Deduction	<u>-149.00</u>
Adjusted Income	951.80
Minus Shelter Deduction	<u>-469.00</u> (\$469 is the maximum)
Net Income	482.80

The correct allotment for one person with net income of \$482.80 was \$55 in September 2013. *FS Wisconsin Handbook*, 8.1.2, p.5.

The petitioner mentioned that she has trouble paying her bills, and cannot afford health insurance. After October 1, 2013, she may wish to go the "Obamacare" website, at www.healthcare.gov, to decide whether she would like to enroll in subsidized health insurance.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Department correctly determined the petitioner's FS allotment for September 2013.

THEREFORE, it is

ORDERED

That the petition is dismissed.

REQUEST FOR A REHEARING

This is a final administrative decision. If you think this decision is based on a serious mistake in the facts or the law, you may request a rehearing. You may also ask for a rehearing if you have found new evidence which would change the decision. Your request must explain what mistake the Administrative Law Judge made and why it is important or you must describe your new evidence and tell why you did not have it at your first hearing. If you do not explain these things, your request will have to be denied.

To ask for a rehearing, send a written request to the Division of Hearings and Appeals, P.O. Box 7875, Madison, WI 53707-7875. Send a copy of your request to the other people named in this decision as "PARTIES IN INTEREST." Your request for a rehearing must be received no later than 20 days after the date of the decision. Late requests cannot be granted.

The process for asking for a rehearing is in Wis. Stat. § 227.49. A copy of the statutes can be found at your local library or courthouse.

APPEAL TO COURT

You may also appeal this decision to Circuit Court in the county where you live. Appeals must be served and filed with the appropriate court no more than 30 days after the date of this hearing decision (or 30 days after a denial of rehearing, if you ask for one).

For purposes of appeal to circuit court, the Respondent in this matter is the Department of Health Services. After filing the appeal with the appropriate court, it must be served on the Secretary of that Department, either personally or by certified mail. The address of the Department is: 1 West Wilson Street, Madison, Wisconsin 53703. A copy should also be sent to the Division of Hearings and Appeals, 5005 University Avenue, Suite 201, Madison, WI 53705-5400.

The appeal must also be served on the other "PARTIES IN INTEREST" named in this decision. The process for appeals to the Circuit Court is in Wis. Stat. §§ 227.52 and 227.53.

Given under my hand at the City of Madison,
Wisconsin, this 27th day of September, 2013

\sNancy ■ Gagnon
Administrative Law Judge
Division of Hearings and Appeals



State of Wisconsin \DIVISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

Wayne ■ Wiedenhoef, Acting Administrator
Suite 201
5005 University Avenue
Madison, WI 53705-5400

Telephone: (608) 266-3096
FAX: (608) 264-9885
email: DHAmail@wisconsin.gov
Internet: <http://dha.state.wi.us>

The preceding decision was sent to the following parties on September 27, 2013.

Outagamie County Department of Human Services
Division of Health Care Access and Accountability