



STATE OF WISCONSIN
Division of Hearings and Appeals

In the Matter of:

Redact

DECISION

FOP/165788

PRELIMINARY RECITALS

Pursuant to a petition filed May 1, 2015, under Wis. Admin. Code § HA 3.03, to review a decision by the Dane County Department of Human Services ["County"] in regard to FoodShare benefits ["FS"], a Hearing was held via telephone on June 2, 2015. At petitioner's request a Hearing scheduled for May 21, 2015 was rescheduled. The Hearing for this matter was held at the same time as the Hearing for the following 2 closely related matters: MOP-165789; and, FOP-165790.

The issue for determination is whether the following 2 Claims may be established against petitioner for overpayments of FS in the total amount of \$8,116.00 covering the time period September 21, 2012 to August 31, 2014:

- (I) Claim # Redact; September 21, 2012 to August 31, 2013; \$5,957.00; and,
(II) Claim # Redact; September 1, 2013 to August 31, 2014; \$2,159.00.

There appeared at that time via telephone the following persons:

PARTIES IN INTEREST:

Petitioner:

Redact

Respondent:

Department of Health Services
1 West Wilson Street, Room 651
Madison, Wisconsin 53703

BY: Redact, Overpayment Specialist
Dane County Department of Human Services
1819 Aberg Avenue
Suite D
Madison, WI 53704-6343

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:  
 Sean P. Maloney  
 Division of Hearings and Appeals

### FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner (CARES # [Redact]) is a resident of Dane County, Wisconsin.
2. The County established the following 2 Claims against petitioner for overpayments of FS in the total amount of \$8,116.00 covering the time period September 21, 2012 to August 31, 2014:
  - (I) Claim # [Redact]; September 21, 2012 to August 31, 2013; \$5,957.00; and,
  - (II) Claim # [Redact]; September 1, 2013 to August 31, 2014; \$2,159.00.
 Exhibits A & L.
3. Petitioner is married to adult male DB; the date of their marriage was April 19, 2008.
4. Petitioner and her husband (DB) lived together in the same single family home during the time periods relevant to the FS overpayments listed in *Findings of Fact #2*, above. Exhibits A, B1, C, N & O.
5. Petitioner's husband had income during the time periods relevant to the FS overpayments listed in *Findings of Fact #2*, above, which put their FS household over the FS program income limit. Exhibits A, D, D1, E, F1, G1 & M.

### DISCUSSION

The County argues that petitioner was over the FS income limit during the time periods in question because her husband was part of her FS household and had income that put the household over the income limit.<sup>1</sup> Petitioner does not deny that her husband had income that would put the household over the FS program limit. However, she denies that he was part of the FS household.

The basic definition of a household for purposes of FS is a group of individuals who live together and customarily purchase food and prepare meals together for home consumption. 7 C.F.R. § 273.1(a)(3) (2015); *FoodShare Wisconsin Handbook* ["FSWH"] 3.3.1.1. Spouses who live together must be considered as customarily purchasing food and preparing meals together even if they do not do so, and thus must be included in the same household. 7 C.F.R. §§ 273.1(b)(1)(i) (2015); FSWH 3.3.1.3.1.

This matter must be decided based on a preponderance of the credible evidence. Wis. Admin. Code § HA 3.09(4) (September 2001). The burden is on the County to show that petitioner lived with her husband during the time periods in question. The County has more than satisfied this burden. Therefore, it must be concluded that petitioner lived with her husband during the time periods in question.

---

<sup>1</sup> For purposes of FS, income includes all household income from wherever source, excluding only certain specific items not relevant here. 7 C.F.R. §§ 273.9(b) & (c) (2015); *FoodShare Wisconsin Handbook* ["FSWH"] 4.3.1. Earned income of any household member age 18 years old or older is to be included in the FS household income. 7 C.F.R. § 273.9(c)7. (2015); FSWH, 4.3.2.2.3.

The County presented convincing evidence. This included leases signed jointly by petitioner and her husband, statements by their landlord, an admission by both petitioner and her husband that they lived in the same single family home and that her husband paid all the bills, and driver's license records. Exhibits A, C & N.

Petitioner testified that although she and her husband lived in the same home they did not get along, were separated, and that she lived upstairs and he lived downstairs. She also testified that they went through a period of time when her husband was not living in the home (although he still paid the bills) -- but she was unable to provide an alternative address where her husband did live. Given all of the other evidence in the record of this matter, petitioner's claim that she did not live with her husband during the time period of the overpayments is simply not credible. Further, for purposes of FS they must be considered as living together if they lived in the same single family home (even if, as petitioner claims, they did not get along, she lived upstairs, and he lived downstairs).

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

For the reasons discussed above, petitioner and her husband lived together during the time period of the FS overpayments detailed in *Findings of Fact #2*, above.

**NOW, THEREFORE, it is**

### ORDERED

That the petition for review herein be and the same is hereby DISMISSED.

### REQUEST FOR A REHEARING

You may request a rehearing if you think this decision is based on a serious mistake in the facts or the law or if you have found new evidence that would change the decision. Your request must be **received within 20 days after the date of this decision**. Late requests cannot be granted.

Send your request for rehearing in writing to the Division of Hearings and Appeals, 5005 University Avenue, Suite 201, Madison, WI 53705-5400 **and** to those identified in this decision as "PARTIES IN INTEREST." Your rehearing request must explain what mistake the Administrative Law Judge made and why it is important or you must describe your new evidence and explain why you did not have it at your first hearing. If your request does not explain these things, it will be denied.

The process for requesting a rehearing may be found at Wis. Stat. § 227.49. A copy of the statutes may be found online or at your local library or courthouse.

### APPEAL TO COURT

You may also appeal this decision to Circuit Court in the county where you live. Appeals must be filed with the Court **and** served either personally or by certified mail on the Secretary of the Department of Health Services, 1 West Wilson Street, Room 651, Madison, Wisconsin 53703, **and** on those identified in this decision as "PARTIES IN INTEREST" **no more than 30 days after the date of this decision** or 30 days after a denial of a timely rehearing (if you request one).

The process for Circuit Court Appeals may be found at Wis. Stat. §§ 227.52 and 227.53. A copy of the statutes may be found online or at your local library or courthouse.

Given under my hand at the City of Madison,  
Wisconsin, this 15th day of June, 2015

---

\sSean P. Maloney  
Administrative Law Judge  
Division of Hearings and Appeals



**State of Wisconsin\DIVISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS**

Brian Hayes, Administrator  
Suite 201  
5005 University Avenue  
Madison, WI 53705-5400

Telephone: (608) 266-3096  
FAX: (608) 264-9885  
email: [DHAmail@wisconsin.gov](mailto:DHAmail@wisconsin.gov)  
Internet: <http://dha.state.wi.us>

The preceding decision was sent to the following parties on June 15, 2015.

Dane County Department of Human Services  
Public Assistance Collection Unit  
Division of Health Care Access and Accountability