



STATE OF WISCONSIN  
Division of Hearings and Appeals

In the Matter of



DECISION

MOP/167152

PRELIMINARY RECITALS

Pursuant to a petition filed July 7, 2015, under Wis. Stat. § 49.45(5), and Wis. Admin. Code § HA 3.03(1), to review a decision by the Milwaukee Enrollment Services in regard to Medical Assistance (MA)/BadgerCare Plus (BCP) overpayments, a hearing was held on September 2, 2015, at Milwaukee, Wisconsin. A hearing set for August 5, 2015, was rescheduled at the petitioner's request.

The issue for determination is whether the Department correctly determined that the petitioner was overpaid BCP benefits from (1) August 2013 to January 31, 2014, and (2) March 1 through June 30, 2014 (claims # [REDACTED], # [REDACTED], # [REDACTED]).

There appeared at that time and place the following persons:

PARTIES IN INTEREST:

Petitioner:



Respondent:

Department of Health Services  
1 West Wilson Street, Room 651  
Madison, Wisconsin 53703

By: [REDACTED] HSPC Sr.  
Milwaukee Enrollment Services  
1220 W. Vliet St., Room 106  
Milwaukee, WI 53205

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

Nancy J. Gagnon (telephonically)  
Division of Hearings and Appeals

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Petitioner (CARES # [REDACTED]) is a resident of Milwaukee County.

2. BCP is a variant of Medical Assistance in Wisconsin for low-income persons who are not elderly or disabled. The petitioner had an ongoing BCP case for three persons (self, husband, child) from at least June 2013 through January 2014. She was the casehead for a group of two persons (self and child) from February 1 through June 30, 2014. When the petitioner applied for BCP for a household of three in May 2013, she reported only her husband's earnings. Thereafter, she got a job with [REDACTED]; she reported that job and its projected income of \$700 monthly on June 13. The Department sent her an eligibility notice on June 27, advising that she would have to report if the household's income exceeded \$3,255. *See*, Exhibit 1, pp. 58-62. The \$3,255 is 200% of the federal poverty level (FPL) for a household of three; adults with household income over 200% FPL were not eligible for BCP in 2013.
3. The petitioner's job at [REDACTED] yielded more than \$700 monthly, and household income exceeded 200% FPL from July 2013 through January 2014. Employment at [REDACTED] ended, with the petitioner's last paycheck being issued in August 2013. The petitioner then obtained employment with [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] earnings were received from September 2013 forward.
4. The household's income continued to exceed 200% FPL through January 2014. The petitioner did not report that she was receiving income exceeding 200% FPL until December 18, 2013 (which is too late for the Department's computers to change the January benefit).
5. On December 18, the petitioner reported that her husband left the household, and the agency removed him and his income from the group effective February 1, 2014. On January 7, 2014, the Department mailed her a notice advising that the child remained eligible and that the new income limit for the child only (300% FPL) in a group of two was \$3,877.50. *See*, Exhibit 1, pp. 67-71. The petitioner received income totaling \$3,945 in January 2014 (over limit). This should have been reported in February, to affect the child's benefit from March 1, 2014 onward.
6. No adults were covered by BCP from February 1, 2014 onward; however, the child's coverage continued. Child premiums were not paid. Because the household income continued to exceed 200% FPL, a child premium was due from March through June 2014, and the household owes BCP for the child for these months. The amount of the BCP claim for the March through June period is \$390.12 (claim # [REDACTED]; \$97.53 per month x 4 months).
7. The petitioner was not eligible for adult BCP from August 2013 through January 2014. Child BCP premiums went unpaid from March through June, 2014. On June 29, 2015, the Department issued *Medicaid/BadgerCare/BadgerCare Plus Overpayment Notices* to the petitioner, stating that she had been overpaid \$17,266.01 for the August 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014, period. The BCP program paid monthly HMO capitation fees and other charges on her household's behalf during these months.

### DISCUSSION

The Department of Health Services (Department) is legally required to seek recovery of incorrect BCP payments when a recipient engages in a misstatement or omission of fact on a BCP application, or fails to report income information, which in turn gives rise to a BCP overpayment:

**49.497 Recovery of incorrect medical assistance payments. (1)** (a) The department may recover any payment made incorrectly for benefits provided under this subchapter or s.49.665 if the incorrect payment results from any of the following:

1. A misstatement or omission of fact by a person supplying information in an application for benefits *under this subchapter* or s.49.665.

2. The failure of a Medical Assistance or Badger Care recipient or any other person responsible for giving information on the recipient's behalf to report the receipt of income or assets in an amount that would have affected the recipient's eligibility for benefits.

3. The *failure* of a Medical Assistance or Badger Care recipient or any other person responsible for giving information on the recipient's behalf *to report any change in the recipient's financial or nonfinancial situation or eligibility characteristics that would have affected the recipient's eligibility for benefits* or the recipient's cost-sharing requirements.

(b) The department's right of recovery is against any medical assistance recipient to whom or on whose behalf the incorrect payment was made. The extent of recovery is limited to the amount of the benefits incorrectly granted. ...

*(emphasis added)*

Wis. Stat. §49.497(1). BCP is in the same subchapter as §49.497. See also, *BCP Eligibility Handbook(BCPEH)*, §28.1, online at <http://www.emhandbooks.wisconsin.gov/bcplus/bcplus.htm>.

Department policy then instructs the agency, in a "no eligibility" case, to base the overpayment determination on the actual MA/BCP charges paid. *BCPEH*, §§28.1- 28.4.

The BCP statute requires the recipient to report changes that might affect eligibility:

**(6) MISCELLANEOUS ELIGIBILITY AND BENEFIT PROVISIONS. ...**

(h) Within 10 days after the change occurs, a recipient shall report to the department any change that might affect his or her eligibility or any change that might require premium payment by a recipient who was not required to pay premiums before the change.

Wis. Stats. §49.471(6)(h). See in accord, *BCPEH*, §27.2-.3. Thus, the existence of the timely reporting requirement is clear.

In this case, the agency asserts that the petitioner failed to report (1) the increase in her income to above the 200% of the federal poverty level (FPL) for the overpayment months, and (2) the commencement of her [REDACTED] employment for nearly four months. When her income exceeded 200% FPL, she was not eligible for adult benefits. Wis. Stat. §49.471(4)(a). Based on her documented excess income, the agency came up with the overpayment amount. The petitioner does not challenge the agency's arithmetic, but does assert that the overpayment was not intentional. She correctly asserts that she reported the commencement of her job with [REDACTED] in June. She does not explain why she did not report in July that the [REDACTED] income was *much* higher than expected. She also gave no credible explanation for her failure to report her job/income from [REDACTED] until late December 2013. This reporting failure ([REDACTED]) is in sharp contrast to her multiple contacts to the agency in June, when she wanted to be sure that BCP was opening, and in August, when she wanted to be sure that BCP would stay open and that the agency was aware of the ending of her job at [REDACTED].

Finally, she argued at length that she was not at fault for the March - June 2014 child overpayment because she believed that her entire BCP case had closed January 31, 2014. However, the Department issued a notice on January 7, 2014, that clearly states that (1) the adults are no longer eligible, but (2) the child's coverage is still open and that the petitioner should pay a premium to keep the child's case open. At hearing, the petitioner came off as intelligent, articulate, and loquacious, and it is not believable that she did not understand this notice or the income reporting requirements.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. The petitioner failed to timely report her increased income in June, 2013, resulting in the creation of a BCP overpayment.
2. The county agency correctly determined that the petitioner was overpaid \$17,266.01 in BCP benefits during the August 2013 through June 2014 (excluding February 2014), period.

**THEREFORE, it is**

**ORDERED**

That the petition is dismissed.

**REQUEST FOR A REHEARING**

You may request a rehearing if you think this decision is based on a serious mistake in the facts or the law or if you have found new evidence that would change the decision. Your request must be **received within 20 days after the date of this decision**. Late requests cannot be granted.

Send your request for rehearing in writing to the Division of Hearings and Appeals, 5005 University Avenue, Suite 201, Madison, WI 53705-5400 **and** to those identified in this decision as "PARTIES IN INTEREST." Your rehearing request must explain what mistake the Administrative Law Judge made and why it is important or you must describe your new evidence and explain why you did not have it at your first hearing. If your request does not explain these things, it will be denied.

The process for requesting a rehearing may be found at Wis. Stat. § 227.49. A copy of the statutes may be found online or at your local library or courthouse.

**APPEAL TO COURT**

You may also appeal this decision to Circuit Court in the county where you live. Appeals must be filed with the Court **and** served either personally or by certified mail on the Secretary of the Department of Health Services, 1 West Wilson Street, Room 651, Madison, Wisconsin 53703, **and** on those identified in this decision as "PARTIES IN INTEREST" **no more than 30 days after the date of this decision** or 30 days after a denial of a timely rehearing (if you request one).

The process for Circuit Court Appeals may be found at Wis. Stat. §§ 227.52 and 227.53. A copy of the statutes may be found online or at your local library or courthouse.

Given under my hand at the City of Madison,  
Wisconsin, this 2nd day of November, 2015

---

\sNancy J. Gagnon  
Administrative Law Judge  
Division of Hearings and Appeals





**State of Wisconsin \DIVISION OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS**

Brian Hayes, Administrator  
Suite 201  
5005 University Avenue  
Madison, WI 53705-5400

Telephone: (608) 266-3096  
FAX: (608) 264-9885  
email: [DHAmail@wisconsin.gov](mailto:DHAmail@wisconsin.gov)  
Internet: <http://dha.state.wi.us>

The preceding decision was sent to the following parties on November 2, 2015.

Milwaukee Enrollment Services  
Public Assistance Collection Unit  
Division of Health Care Access and Accountability